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ABSTRACT

To explore the role of cloud microphysics in a large dataset of precipitating clouds, a six-month dataset of satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures from GOES longwave infrared (channel 4) satellite data over precipitating surface observing stations is constructed, producing 144,738 observations of snow, rain, freezing rain, and sleet. The distributions of cloud-top brightness temperatures were constructed for each precipitation type, as well as light, moderate and heavy snow and rain. The light-snow distribution has a maximum at –16°C, whereas the moderate and heavy snow distributions have a bimodal distribution around –16° to –23°C and a secondary maximum at –35° to –45°C. The light, moderate, and heavy rain, as well as the freezing rain and sleet, distributions are also bimodal with roughly the same temperature maxima, although the colder mode dominates. The colder of the bimodal peaks trends to lower temperatures with increasing rainfall intensity: –45°C for light rain, –47°C for moderate rain, and –50°C for heavy rain. Like the distributions for snow, the colder bimodal peak increases in amplitude relative to the warmer bimodal peak at heavier rainfall intensities. The steep slope in the snow distribution for cloud-top brightness temperatures warmer than –15°C is due to the combined effect of the activation of ice nuclei and the maximum growth rate for ice crystals at temperatures near –15°C. In contrast, the rain distributions have a gentle slope toward higher cloud-top brightness temperatures (–5° to 0°C) due to the warm-rain process. Finally, satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures are compared to coincident radiosonde-derived cloud-top temperatures. Although most difference between these two are small amplitude, some are as large as +/−60°C. The cause of these differences remains unclear, and several hypotheses are offered.
1. Introduction

The temperatures inside a cloud can play an important role in modulating the cloud microphysics in producing precipitation. For example, ice will only nucleate from the vapor phase through the activation of ice nuclei below temperatures approximately \(-7^\circ\) to \(-16^\circ\)C, depending on the composition of the ice nuclei (e.g., Rogers and Yau 1989, p. 154). Furthermore, strong vertical motion in the temperature regime of maximum dendritic ice-crystal growth \((-12^\circ\) to \(-18^\circ\)C) is believed to be a factor in the production of heavy snowfall (e.g., Auer and White 1982).

Despite the quantitative temperature information inherent in satellite data, few studies have related the cloud-top brightness temperature to the observed precipitation type (e.g., snow, rain, freezing rain, sleet). Instead, applications for forecasting snowfall in real-time are limited to qualitative methods identifying regions of low cloud-top temperatures (e.g., Beckman 1987; Johnston 1995). In contrast, satellite-derived rainfall techniques have tried to find relationships between cloud-top brightness temperature and rainfall rates (e.g., Scofield and Oliver 1977; Griffith et al. 1978; Scofield 1987; O’Sullivan et al. 1990; Vicente et al. 1998; Ba et al. 2003). In this note, we ask the question, is there a difference in the cloud-top brightness temperatures associated with different types of precipitation at the surface? Specifically, for a large sample of precipitation events, what can the distribution of cloud-top brightness temperature tell us about the physical processes acting inside precipitation clouds?

The purpose of this note is to explore a six-month dataset of surface observations of precipitation and the associated satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures. In section 2, the data are described. In section 3, distributions of cloud-top brightness temperatures for rain, snow, freezing rain, and sleet are presented. Satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures
and cloud-top temperatures derived from radiosonde data are compared in section 4, showing large unexplained discrepancies between the two methods. Finally, section 5 concludes this paper.

2. Data

Six months of data were collected over two winters. For February to March 2003, cloud-top brightness temperatures from the longwave infrared band (channel 4; 10.2135–11.2045 µm) from the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite-8 (GOES-8) spacecraft were collected over all precipitating surface observing stations throughout the contiguous United States (CONUS). After GOES-12 became the operational satellite covering the eastern United States on 1 April 2003, cloud-top brightness temperatures from the longwave infrared band (channel 4; 10.2344–11.2397 µm) on GOES-12 were collected for December 2003 to March 2004. The change in instrumentation during the study period was determined to have negligible impacts on satellite derived cloud-top brightness temperatures as intercalibration studies for similar wavelengths between GOES-8, GOES-12 and the higher resolution polar orbiting satellites were generally within ±1.0 K (Gunshor et al. 2003). Cloud-top brightness temperature was constructed by taking the mean brightness temperature of a 2 x 2 pixel box (~36.8 km² at nadir) centered over the surface observing station to minimize navigational and parallax errors of the satellite data and to account for any horizontal advection of the hydrometeors (Schmit et al. 2001). This mean cloud-top brightness temperature was included in the dataset if the standard deviation of all the pixels sampled was less than or equal to 1.0 K to ensure horizontal homogeneity in the cloud height. The coverage time of the satellite scan was chosen to best correspond with the standard hourly surface reports, which are generally reported approximately
10 minutes before the top of the hour. This fact implies that the time difference between the surface precipitation observation and the satellite scan should rarely be more than 10 min.

The method of obtaining cloud-top brightness temperature outlined above and making inferences on the physical processes acting inside precipitation producing clouds makes three critical assumptions: 1) the cloud that produced the hydrometeor behaves as a blackbody; 2) the remotely sensed cloud-top brightness temperature is the cloud that produced the hydrometeor; and 3) the cloud-top brightness temperature is the lowest temperature in the cloud. The validity of these assumptions is explored in section 4.

3. Cloud-top brightness temperatures by precipitation type

Based on the criteria in section 2, a total of 145,062 observations of mean cloud-top brightness temperatures associated with surface precipitation observations were obtained. In this study, four types of surface precipitation types were considered: rain, snow, freezing rain, and sleet. Mixtures of precipitation types and snow grains were eliminated, leaving 144,738 observations. The distributions of mean cloud-top brightness temperature are discussed in section 3a, whereas the implications for these distributions in terms of cloud microphysics and dynamics, as well as a comparison to the previous literature, are discussed in sections 3b, 3c, and 3d.

a. Distributions

A total of 93,616 snow observations were collected, of which 89,828 (95.96%) were light, 3,353 (3.58%) were moderate, and 433 (0.46%) were heavy. The distribution of cloud-top brightness temperatures for clouds producing snow is displayed in Fig. 1. Because the
overwhelming majority of snow reports are light snow, the distribution for all snow observations (Fig. 1a) is nearly identical to that for light snow (Fig. 1b). The mode for light snow occurs at –16°C, and the distribution is heavily skewed toward lower temperatures (Fig. 1b). A sharp drop in the distribution occurs toward higher temperatures, especially over the range –15°C to –10°C. Nevertheless, a small percentage (4.13%) of cloud-top brightness temperatures with light snow occurs greater than –10°C. In contrast, the distributions for moderate and heavy snow are both bimodal with comparatively broad peaks around –16° to –23°C and –35° to –45°C (Figs. 1c,d).

There were fewer rain observations (49,809) than snow observations because of the months we chose occurring in the cool season. A total of 42,469 observations (85.3%) were light rain, 6,731 (13.5%) were moderate, and 609 (1.2%) were heavy. The distribution of cloud-top brightness temperatures for clouds producing rain is displayed in Fig. 2. As with that for snow (Figs. 1a,b), the distribution for all-rain observations is dominated by the large percentage of light-rain events (cf. Figs. 2a,b). The distributions for various intensities of rain, however, differ from those of snow in three significant ways. First, rather than a single mode in cloud-top brightness temperature for light snow at –16°C (Fig. 1b), light rain possesses a bimodal distribution with a dominant peak around –45°C and a secondary peak around –14°C (Fig. 2b). Second, the light-rain distribution has a gentler slope toward higher cloud-top brightness temperatures (–5° to 0°C) than the steeper slope for light snow at temperatures higher than –15°C (cf. Figs. 2b, 1b). Third, the colder of the bimodal peaks trends to lower temperatures with increasing rainfall intensity. Specifically, the mode is –45°C for light rain (Fig. 2b), –47°C for moderate rain (Fig. 2c), and –50°C for heavy rain (Fig. 2d). Like the distributions for snow, the colder bimodal peak has greater amplitude at heavier rainfall intensities (cf. Figs. 1 and 2).
Finally, distributions of cloud-top brightness temperatures for freezing rain and sleet are shown in Fig. 3. There are fewer observations of freezing rain and sleet (1163 and 150, respectively) than rain and snow, so the distributions are not broken up by intensity. Generally, these observations are similar to those for rain (cf. Figs. 3 and 2a), albeit more noisy. There is some indication that the warmer mode in the freezing-rain distribution (−8°C in Fig. 3a) is warmer than in the rain case (−14°C in Fig. 2a), although the small sample size of the freezing-rain events may be an issue. The distribution of sleet (Fig. 3b) is not inconsistent with the freezing-rain distribution—with a significantly lower sample size for sleet, however, a more detailed comparison is inhibited.

The shapes of the distributions in Figs. 1–3 raise several important questions that require explanation. These questions are discussed in the next three subsections.

b. Why does the light-snow distribution have one mode, whereas the other distributions (i.e., moderate and heavy snow, rain, freezing rain, sleet) have two modes?

The shapes of these distributions, at least for rain clouds, have been demonstrated previously. For example, Weickmann (1957, his Fig. 13) summarized previously published distributions of cloud-top temperatures derived from aircraft soundings for various types of clouds, showing similar distributions to ours. The minimum temperature on Weickmann’s graph, however, was only −32°C, so he was unable to see the colder mode, although Mason and Howorth’s (1952) data on Weickmann’s Fig. 13 may be depicting the edge of the colder mode. Ba et al. (2003) found bimodal distributions of cloud-top brightness temperature for rainfall of various intensities, and they found that the amplitude of the warmer peak decreased relative to
the amplitude of the colder peak as the rain rate increased, consistent with our results (cf. Figs. 2b,c,d).

The two modes in nearly all the distributions illustrate two effects. The warmer mode around –15°C occurs in the temperature regime of maximum dendritic growth of ice crystals (e.g., Rogers and Yau 1989, 158–163; Fukuta and Takahashi 1999). At this temperature regime, the Bergeron process is quite effective, and rapid growth of dendritic ice occurs. Thus, clouds reaching temperatures at least this low are favored for the initiation of precipitation processes. The colder mode around –35° to –45°C generally represents the equilibrium levels for moist ascending air (whether unstable or stable), which tends to occur near the tropopause. Thus, the single mode of the light-snow distribution occurs because light snow tends to form in situations with greater stability (implying that deep, moist convection is not present or is not tropospheric deep), reducing the magnitude of the colder mode. Light snow tends to form from cloud tops that are relatively warm, in comparison to the moderate and heavy snows where greater percentages of the distributions are associated with colder cloud tops (cf. Fig. 1b to Figs. 1c,d), which imply deeper ascent and possibly greater instability.

c. Why do the snow distributions have a steep decline from the warmer mode to higher temperatures over the range –15° to –8°C?

Previous research on the distribution of cloud-top temperatures in clouds solely producing snow has not been published to our knowledge. This was one of the reasons that motivated us to perform this study. One small dataset was published by Schultz et al. (2002, their Fig. 1), showing cloud-top temperatures derived from radiosonde data for only 64 snowfall events—no events were warmer than –5°C.
The steep decline in the snow distributions is likely associated with two effects. First is the maximum in dendritic ice-crystal growth occurring at about –15°C, as previously discussed. Second is the activation of ice nuclei. Ice nuclei present in the atmosphere do not begin nucleating ice until their temperature drops below a certain level, which is dependent on the structure of the ice nuclei. For many mineral substances in the atmosphere that act as ice nuclei, this temperature lies between –7° and –16°C (e.g., Rogers and Yau 1989, p. 154). Thus, this sharp decline at temperatures higher than –15°C (Fig. 1) is due to both the loss of the dendritic-growth process for precipitation production and the decrease in active ice nuclei. Although a steep decline exists, there tends to be no sharp upper bound for precipitation production (i.e., snow can occur with cloud tops as warm as –1°C), indicating either issues with our methodology (explored in section 4), data quality, or extremely active ice nuclei at warm temperatures.

d. Compared to the snow distributions, why do the rain distributions have a less steep decline over the range –5° to 0°C?

Comparing previous research to the present research is difficult because most previous studies did not separate clouds producing rain from those producing snow. For example, Peppler’s (1940) climatology of cloud-top temperatures showed a similar sharp decrease in the frequency of cloud-top temperatures for precipitating clouds warmer than –12°C. Braham et al. (1951) found that radar echoes in New Mexico cumulus never developed for summit-level temperatures greater than –12°C. Mason and Howorth (1952) found that the frequency of rain/snow increased dramatically for cloud-top temperatures lower than –12°C. Plank et al. (1955) found that when echo-top temperatures from a cloud radar reached between –10° and –20°C, onset of precipitation became likely. Weickmann’s (1957, his Fig. 13) cases of
supercooled water clouds, however, showed a peak around –4°C, indicating that ice nuclei had not been activated because the temperatures were not low enough.

That the rain distribution extends out to warmer cloud tops than snow (cf. Figs. 1a and 2a) is easily explained. Specifically, cloud-top brightness temperatures above 0°C with rain are not uncommon (5.1% of the total rain reports in Fig. 2a). Such warm clouds indicate that the formation of cloud ice is unlikely and warm-rain processes through the collision–coalescence mechanism are likely occurring. Thus, the less steep declines in the distributions of rain are likely due to the warm-rain precipitation processes.

Thus, these distributions of the cloud-top brightness temperatures associated with various types of precipitating clouds in Figs. 1–3 imply microphysical differences. In the next section, the cloud-top brightness temperatures derived from satellite are compared to upper-air profiles from radiosondes in an attempt to explore these processes further.

4. Comparison between satellite-derived and radiosonde-derived cloud-top temperatures

To test the accuracy of the satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures and the assumptions listed in section 2, a subset of the 145 062 observations of surface precipitation type was constructed where radiosonde profiles were coincident with a surface observing station reporting precipitation at 1100 or 2300 UTC (the approximate sounding release times). This subset numbered 345 observations. Cloud-top temperatures were determined from the radiosonde data using the method outlined in Wang and Rossow (1995).

Specifically, the Wang and Rossow (1995) method employs a top-down examination of relative humidity with respect to water (RH<sub>wa</sub>) for temperatures above 273.16 K and with respect to ice (RH<sub>i</sub>) for temperatures below 273.16 K. Moist layers suggestive of possible clouds were
indicated by levels where RH_w or RH_i satisfies one of the following two criteria: (a) RH_w or RH_i ≥ 87%, or (b) RH_w or RH_i ≥ 84% but < 87%, and there is a 3% increase of the RH_w or RH_i from the higher level. The vertical top-down examination of RH_w or RH_i continues until the level where RH_w or RH_i fails to meet the above criteria—this level is then determined to be the base of the moist layer. The moist layer is judged to be a cloud layer if the maximum RH_w or RH_i of the layer surpasses 87%.

If a single moist layer existed, the cloud-top temperature was assigned the mean temperature of the top of the single moist layer and the layer immediately above. Five of the 345 soundings had no cloud-top temperature by the Wang and Rossow (1995) method. Additional moist layers that existed below the uppermost layer were also examined using the vertical top-down approach to determine the frequency of multicloud layers. All radiosonde profiles were then examined to determine the frequency when cloud-top temperatures were not the lowest temperature in the cloud. The validity of the assumptions listed in section 2 is examined below.

a. The cloud that produced the hydrometeor behaves as a blackbody.

In the GOES channel-4 wavelength, clouds absorb nearly all incident infrared radiation, acting nearly as a blackbody with emissivity approaching 1 (e.g., Kidder and Vonder Haar 1995, p. 79). If clouds are nearly blackbodies, cloud-top temperatures can be inferred by converting emitted radiation to a brightness temperature. As a quality-control check using our data, satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures comprising the 340 precipitating surface reports collocated with radiosonde sites were compared to cloud-top temperatures using the Wang and Rossow (1995) method. The distribution of the difference between the satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures, hereafter SCTT, and radiosonde-derived cloud-top
temperatures, hereafter RCTT, is plotted in Fig. 4. The values of SCTT–RCTT are most commonly between −5° and 10°C, but the broad distribution, including some values as large as +/−60°C, suggests some major discrepancies with this comparison. Such discrepancies are similar to those previously published (e.g., Landolt et al. 2004; Sherwood et al. 2004; Holland et al. 2006), but much larger than the mean 1933 feet (589 m) published by Borneman (1978).

The differences between SCTT and RCTT can partially be explained by the temporal difference between the satellite scan and sounding-release times, which can be as much as 30 min. Discrepancies can also result due to the horizontal drift of the radiosonde out of the 2 x 2 pixel box surrounding the surface station used to determine the cloud-top brightness temperature. In addition, discrepancies can be attributed to uncertainties in radiosonde humidity measurements (e.g., Miloshevich et al. 2001), inherent biases of the Wang and Rossow (1995) method overestimating cloud-top pressures (e.g., Holland et al. 2006), and the underestimation of deep convective clouds by GOES channel-4 brightness temperatures (e.g., Sherwood et al. 2004).

Many of the greatest and most numerous discrepancies, however, appear to be a result of moisture layers detected at very high altitudes by RCTT not detected by SCTT skewing the distribution to the right (Fig. 4). We believe this is a direct result of optically thin cirrus that goes undetected due to the 4-km resolution of GOES channel-4 data (e.g., Dessler and Yang 2003). In addition to the resolution constraints of the GOES-8 and GOES-12 data, the wavelength range of channel-4 data (approximately 10.2–11.2 µm) is not the ideal wavelength to detect cirrus with thin optical depths (e.g., Dessler and Yang 2003). The frequency of optically thin cirrus may be greater than expected. Specifically, during two 3-day periods from December 2000 and June 2001, Dessler and Yang (2003) found that about one-third of cloud-free pixels
identified by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS) on board the *Terra* satellite contained detectible thin cirrus as determined by the lidar at Nauru Island.

To gain a better understanding of these discrepancies, a scatterplot of RCTT versus SCTT was constructed (Fig. 5). The least discrepancies between the two methods occurred with warmer cloud tops, with the greatest clustering around –20°C and –10°C (Fig. 5). Both accuracy and precision between SCTT and RCTT decrease with decreasing cloud-top temperature, with SCTT being lower than RCTT at lower temperatures. These results of decreasing accuracy and precision for lower SCTT and RCTT agree with results from Landolt et al. (2004) and Holland et al. (2006). We believe that this is further evidence of optically thin cirrus providing occasionally large differences between SCTT and RCTT. Thus, although optically thin cirrus may explain some of the discrepancies for cold cloud tops in Fig. 5, the large spread at all temperatures suggests the complete answer likely includes a variety of different explanations (e.g., Sherwood et al. 2004).

b. *The remotely sensed cloud-top brightness temperature is the cloud that produced the hydrometeor.*

To address the question of whether or not the SCTT is the cloud that produced the hydrometeor, the 345 radiosondes that were collocated with precipitation reporting surface stations were examined to determine the frequency of multilayer clouds using the Wang and Rossow (1995) method. Of the 345 radiosondes that were collocated with precipitation reporting surface stations, 137 (39.6%) were clearly multilayer cloud cases. In a study of 30 ocean sites, Wang and Rossow (1995) indicated that multilayered clouds occur approximately 56% of the time, more than the 39.6% frequency for multilayered clouds in our case over land. Wang and
Rossow (1995, their Fig. 19) note a negligible seasonal dependence in the midlatitudes so the differences between our two studies is possibly geographically dependent. Because many of the multilayered clouds were cases of optically thin cirrus composed of small ice crystals and may be separated from lower-level clouds by some distance (i.e., implying large fall distances over which small ice crystals would likely sublimate), their ability to seed lower clouds and be involved in the microphysical processes producing precipitation is likely to be negligible (e.g., Pruppacher and Klett 1997, p. 559).

c. The cloud-top temperature is the lowest temperature in the cloud.

In order to make microphysical inferences from satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures, it is necessary for the cloud-top temperature to be the lowest in the cloud. To address this question, the 340 radiosonde profiles were examined for cases where RCTT was not the lowest in the cloud. Of the 340 profiles, only 45 (13.2%) were clearly cases where RCTT was not the lowest in the cloud. Cases where RCTT was not the lowest in the cloud were primarily due to optically thin cirrus near the tropopause and cases with cloud tops near the top of a frontal inversion. Thus, the cloud-top temperature is generally the lowest in the cloud.

5. Conclusions

The operational meteorological community is increasingly realizing the important role of cloud microphysics in the production of heavy precipitation, especially snow (e.g., Roebber et al. 2003). The goal of this note was to relate satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures to coincident observations of precipitation at the surface and radiosonde profiles, and, in doing so, provide some insight into the microphysical processes inside the clouds. The distributions of
cloud-top brightness temperatures from GOES satellite imagery over precipitating clouds show the following signatures.

- The distribution for light snow features one mode at –16°C, whereas all the other precipitation types (moderate and heavy snow, rain, freezing rain, and sleet) feature two modes around –16°C and –35° to –50°C. These two maxima indicate the temperature regimes of maximum ice-crystal growth and the equilibrium level near the tropopause for moist ascending parcels, respectively.

- The distributions for snow are characterized by a steep decline at temperatures higher than –15°C, indicative of two processes: nonactivation of ice nuclei and the decline in the growth rate of ice crystals at the higher temperatures. In contrast, because of the warm-rain process, the distributions for rain are characterized by a gentle decline from –5° to 0°C.

- Radiosonde-derived cloud-top temperatures are generally the coldest temperatures in the cloud with only 13.2% of soundings having the coldest temperature within the cloud rather than at the top of the cloud.

- A comparison between satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures and corresponding radiosonde-derived cloud-top temperatures using a method by Wang and Rossow (1995) generally showed small errors in cloud-top temperature (–5° to 10°C), although some errors were as large as +/–60°C. Differences are largest for the coldest cloud tops. Differences of such large magnitude have been discussed previously (e.g., Landolt et al. 2004; Sherwood et al. 2004; Holland et al. 2006) and several hypotheses have been suggested, including optically thin cirrus. To date, no resolution has yet been found to this discrepancy (S. Sherwood 2006, personal communication).
We hope that continued research is conducted to obtain larger samples of cloud-top temperatures for cases of freezing rain, sleet and drizzle to determine the nature of their respective frequency distributions. In addition we hope that further research will be conducted on the comparison of satellite-derived brightness temperatures and corresponding radiosonde-derived cloud-top temperatures using specifically designed infrared data from the higher resolution polar orbiting satellites to help distinguish cases where optically thin cirrus is not detected by GOES imagery.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Histograms of cloud-top brightness temperatures (°C) for (a) all snow, (b) light snow, (c) moderate snow, and (d) heavy snow.

Figure 2: Histograms of cloud-top brightness temperatures (°C) for (a) all rain, (b) light rain, (c) moderate rain, and (d) heavy rain.

Figure 3: Histograms of cloud-top brightness temperatures (°C) for (a) freezing rain and (b) sleet.

Figure 4: Histogram of satellite-derived cloud-top brightness temperatures SCTT minus radiosonde-derived cloud-top temperatures RCTT (°C). Vertical white line represents 0°C value for SCTT–RCTT.

Figure 5: Scatterplot of RCTT (°C) versus SCTT (°C). The dashed line represents the line of perfect fit, and the solid gray line represents a linear fit to the data.
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