1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

An estimated 20% of tornadoes that occur yearly in the continental United States are spawned by Quasi-Linear Convective Systems (QLCS) (Tessendorf and Trapp 2000). Despite the significant contribution of QLCS tornadoes to the annual total, they are only recently being studied in depth. This paper will compare radar characteristics of QLCS tornadoes to their more common supercellular counterparts using the National Weather Service’s Weather Service Radar - 88 Doppler (WSR-88D) (Crum and Alberty, 1993).

These comparisons will be made using the National Severe Storms Laboratory’s (NSSL) suite of radar algorithms known as the Severe Storm Analysis Program (SSAP), specifically the NSSL Mesocyclone Detection Algorithm (MDA) (Stumpf, et al. 1998), and the Tornado Vortex Signature (TVS) Detection Algorithm (TDA) (Mitchell, et al. 1998).

It is commonly believed that QLCS tornadoes are generally weaker than those spawned by supercells, however Tessendorf and Trapp (2000) show that QLCSs have produced occasional Fujita scale F4 tornadoes. Beyond this capacity to produce violent tornadoes, the distribution of QLCS tornado intensity reflects that of all tornadoes, namely that the majority are weak (F0 or F1).

The mechanism for QLCS tornado formation, and more aspects of their climatology, will be explored in additional papers. This paper attempts to quantify the radar characteristics of QLCS tornadoes and their parent circulation, and the possible differences between them and supercell tornadoes.

2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Building on the work of Tessendorf and Trapp (2000), the authors have compiled a set of ground truth data specific to tornadoes associated with QLCSs. This set, known as Q1, is comprised of information on the location and time of tornado occurrences from the National Climatic Data Center’s Storm Data publication. The ground truth data set spans 2 years (1998-1999) and is for the entire continental United States.

Archive II radar data were then obtained and processed. Most of the data was retrieved by using NCDC’s new Hierarchical Data Storage System - Access System (HAS). The radar base data (base reflectivity and radial velocity) were combined with near storm environmental data and processed through the SSAP. The output from the SSAP contains up to 245 “attributes” or computed quantities, including output found in the MDA and TDA. These data can be then displayed using the National Severe Storms Laboratory’s (NSSL) Weather Decision Support System - Integrated Information (WDSS-II) (Lakshmanan 2002).

At this point, we correlated the QLCS ground truth data to the MDA and TDA detections displayed by WDSS-II. This new data set, called Q2, contains the UTC date and time as well as the TDA and MDA detections’ ID numbers. To show trends leading to tornado genesis, the Q2 data were then sub-divided into time, relative to the volume scan in which the tornado occurred. These sub-divisions range from the tornadic volume scan (TOR) to four volume scans prior to the tornadic volume scan. I.e., TOR-4, TOR-3, TOR-2, TOR-1, and TOR.

This setup mimics that of the NSSL’s Tornado Warning Guidance (TWG) data sets, which therefore allows us to compare the QLCS tornadoes to the more extensive TWG tornado database (WDTB 2002). For this purpose, however, the 1999 TWG data set has been filtered so that it contains only tornadic supercell data. The second data set contains only QLCS tornado data as described above. A breakdown of the QLCS and “supercell” datasets is shown in Table 1.
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### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of:</th>
<th>Supercell</th>
<th>QLCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tornadoes</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR Vol. Scans</td>
<td>1385</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR-1 Vol. Scans</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR-2 Vol. Scans</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR-3 Vol. Scans</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR-4 Vol. Scans</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS

Thirty-five quantities from the SSAP-processed datasets were deemed relevant for this analysis. The quantities fall into two categories: height (ARL), and rotational properties. Circulations associated with QLCS tornades were generally closer to the Earth's surface than the circulations associated with supercell tornades.

All eight quantities measuring the height of particular features, such as mesocyclone base and height of TVS maximum shear, resulted in values closer to the ground for those in the QLCS data set. Nearly all of the QLCS “height parameters” were 0.5 to 1.0 km lower than those for the respective TWG features. Also, supporting the observations of Trapp, et al. (1999), all but two of the height attributes exhibited non-descending behavior. Figure 1 shows the height of the TVS base for TWG tornades (cross-hatched) and QLCS tornades (open).

Finally, it should be noted that the supercell circulations (TVS and mesocyclones) were 0.5 to 1.0 km deeper than those of QLCS storms. Figure 2 displays the depth of supercell (cross-hatched) and QLCS (open) mesocyclones.
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**Figure 1.** Box-and-whisker plot of TDA TVS Base (m ARL). X-axis is volume scans prior to tornadic volume scan, cross-hatched area is supercell data.
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**Figure 2.** Same as in Figure 1, but for MDA mesocyclone depth.

In terms of rotational characteristics, most of the quantities showed less than a 3 m/s difference in the mean between the two datasets. Those quantities that did show a difference of greater than 4 m/s were maxima, i.e., maximum rotational velocity, maximum gate-to-gate velocity difference, etc., with supercell values being more intense. But even these differences were no greater than 10 m/s. In one exception, we did observe (Fig. 3) that QLCS TVSs exhibited greater gate-to-gate velocity differences preceding the onset of tornadoes. All other measures of rotation resulted in greater values for supercell storms.

One notable quantity that does not fall into the height or rotation categories is convergence. Here we find the mean mesocyclone low-level convergence preceding tornadogenesis is also larger in QLCSs than in supercells (Fig. 4). Mid-level convergence displayed a similar trend (QLCS greater than supercell), however the difference between the two parent storm types was not as great.
4. CONCLUSIONS

We have quantified the radar characteristics of 69 QLCS-spawned tornadoes. In comparison to supercell-spawned tornadoes, tornadic QLCS circulations (TVSs and mesocyclones) tended to be shallower and lower to the ground prior to tornadogenesis. Low-level convergence within the diagnosed mesocyclones tended to be larger in QLCSs preceding tornadogenesis than in supercells. Finally, the rotational characteristics of tornadic QLCS circulations were generally the same as those of tornadic supercell circulations, with the exception of gate-to-gate velocity difference, which was larger in QLCS TVSs prior to tornadogenesis.
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Figure 3. Mean TVS low-level gate-to-gate velocity difference. X-axis is the same as Fig. 1. Crosses are supercell data, diamonds are QLCS.

Figure 4. Same as in Figure 3, but for mean mesocyclone low-level convergence.
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